

October 21, 2002

To: Campus Planning Committee  
Dr. Bernard Goldstein, Chief Academic Officer  
Mr. Laurence Furukawa-Schlereth, Chief Financial Officer  
Dr. Nate Johnson, Director for Public Safety  
Mr. Bruce Walker, Senior Director of Planning, Design and Construction  
Dr. Noel Byrne, Chair, Academic Senate  
Mr. Thomas Emme, Campus Consulting Architect  
Mr. Rick Ludmerer, Senior Director for Risk Management  
Ms. Vicki Pannell, Staff Representative  
Ms. Stephanie Giordano, Chancellor's Office Planner  
Dr. Steve Orlick, Professor, ENSP (Faculty rep.)  
Dr. Richard Zimmer, Professor of Anthropology, Hutchins School of  
Liberal Arts (Faculty rep.)  
Dr. Rand Link, Vice President for Student Affairs

From: Ruben Armiñana  
President

Subject: Notes from the October 8, 2002  
Campus Planning Committee

**Adopting Rules Concerning Smoking on Campus.**

President Armiñana briefed the committee on a resolution passed by the Board of Trustees at their September 2002, meeting whereby they adopted Section 42356 of Article 9, Subchapter 5, Chapter 1, Division 5, of Title 5 of the California Code of Regulation which gives the president of each campus the responsibility to adopt rules regarding smoking on the campuses and property of the California State University. The regulation seeks to mitigate exposure to secondhand smoke. Notice must be posted at or near the principal entrances of each campus or property calling attention to the existence of rules.

The Sonoma campus adopted a smoking policy in 1991 which prohibits smoking in campus buildings and is consistent with the resolution adopted by the Trustees with the exception of being specific on the issue of controlling the possibility of secondhand smoke. In order to address that issue, the committee agreed that the policy be amended to include the Trustees direction that smoking be restricted from occurring within 20 feet of any campus building. The president direct Mr. Rick Ludmerer to draft a revision to the policy. The committee also agreed that a subcommittee be assigned the task of selecting an appropriate site for posting the required notices and installing ash cans away from the buildings.

### **Greenhouse Site and Concept Approval**

Deborah DuVall reminded the committee of the direction given to the planning staff at the May 20, 2002, CPC meeting asking them to address concern regarding where the Biology Department Green House function would be located once the Recreation Center construction began. The construction is scheduled to begin Feb 2003.

Since construction will impact only the northern most two rooms of the old greenhouse, the existing greenhouse can stay operational during construction. However, once the Recreation Center is complete, in Spring 2004, campus planners feel that the current greenhouse would be an unsuitable structure at the front door of the new building. The Greenhouse does not have a permanent location on the approved Master Plan. It has never been master planned, but has always been treated like a “temporary facility” during master planning discussions.

Therefore, Bruce Walker and Deborah DuVall met with Science and Technology Department representatives to determine the uses for the Greenhouse and the criteria for the building space and location. Information from the department indicated that the greenhouse is used to provide live plants for instruction and to provide space for student-faculty research activities.

Six locations were then evaluated as a permanent location with the assumption that, once approved at this committee, the campus would be making a Minor Master Plan Revision to our existing approved plan (see attached plan). Bruce Walker walked the committee through the six potential locations ending with a recommendation that the site considered best from the point of view of the Biology Department faculty and the planning office would be the site just east of Salazar Building. However, a condition of this recommendation would be that the building be architecturally compatible with so prominent a site. Saeid Rahimi and Jim Christmann amplified the potential for such a building, including showing snapshots of similar greenhouse structures. Mr. Schlereth asked the cost of such a facility and observed that it was usual for the project causing the relocation to pay for the relocation project. The committee discussed the difficulty of approving a site for the new greenhouse without knowing the cost and the funding source since the cost would vary depending on which site was chosen. President Armiñana directed Bruce Walker and Deborah DuVall to cost out the options and bring that information back to the committee at their next meeting so that the committee could reconsider the impact of the site decisions it would make.

### **Anthropology Outdoor Classroom Site and Concept Approval.**

Deborah DuVall asked the committee to consider a new location for the Anthropology outdoor classroom site. With the construction of the new dorms, the site that had been used by Andrian Praetzellis’s classes to simulate an archeological dig had been destroyed. The need was for a site 20 x 40 feet that could be excavated approximately two feet and used by students in the spring semester for practice digs. Deborah stated that she and Andrian Praetzellis had located a site off Laurel Drive on the berm between the road and the storage building. The agreement was that, if this site was approved,

efforts would be made to keep the site aesthetically acceptable. Nate Johnson expressed some concern regarding the safety aspects of such a site. President Armiñana asked Nate to look at the site and if the site was acceptable to him, the site would be the approved temporary site for use by Adrian Praetzellis. The committee agreed.

### **University Center Phase II – Tuscany**

Deborah DuVall briefed the committee on the status of Phase II of the University Center to be known as Tuscany. The Master Plan sites the University Center Bldg 35. It is a complex of buildings with a combination of support functions. The first phase of the complex to be constructed is the Recreation Center. It will begin construction the first of February. The second phase proposed is the residential programming/meeting function. It is scheduled to begin planning this year. The final phase will be the bookstore/food court function. Deborah explained that Phase II (Tuscany) would begin by amending the Non-State Capital Program at the January Board of Trustees. We will then begin planning stages and come back to this committee for Schematic Review at the spring meeting. Tuscany will be an 11,000 gross square foot building with meeting rooms and related support facilities. The construction cost of the project is estimated at \$1,911,000 with a total project cost of \$2,912,000.